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**[Article Body:]**

# Introduction

The political fight over America’s Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) intensified in May 2025 with the [passage](https://nlihc.org/resource/house-republicans-pass-reconciliation-bill-includes-cuts-vital-safety-net-programs-senate) of the House’s Reconciliation Bill. The program, [rooted](https://www.hoover.org/research/food-stamps-never-ending-story) in a Depression-era initiative to help the poor access food, has long drawn both praise for easing hunger and criticism for encouraging dependency and fraud. Though the Food Stamp Act permanently authorized the program [in 1964](https://www.fns.usda.gov/snap/history) during the Civil Rights era, it [now faces](https://www.washingtonpost.com/health/2025/06/11/food-stamp-nutrition-program-faces-elimination-snap-ed/) its most serious rollback yet.

This moment invites comparison with the Roman Republic’s enduring grain subsidies, formalized through the plebeian council [by 123 BC](https://scholarworks.harding.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1034&context=tenor). Like SNAP, these subsidies aimed to prevent hunger and unrest but were similarly attacked for creating dependency and political manipulation. Yet even after the republic gave way to the empire and the council lost its authority, the subsidies pushed through by the democratic citizen assembly remained, testament to the institution’s lasting influence on Roman society.

Of the republic’s [four core](https://library.fiveable.me/ancient-rome/unit-3/roman-assemblies/study-guide/FpiicBJuvTEmyvmS) [citizen assemblies](https://library.fiveable.me/ancient-rome/unit-3/roman-assemblies/study-guide/FpiicBJuvTEmyvmS), the plebeian council stood out for empowering non-elite citizens through direct lawmaking. Unlike Athenian citizens, who adopted direct democracy early around the birth of the Roman Republic in 509 BC, Roman citizens used the plebeian council to push gradually and persistently for greater political participation over centuries.

The plebeian council’s democratic characteristics contrast with the mostly representative political bodies of modern republics. Though the U.S. [borrowed plenty](https://engelsbergideas.com/essays/what-the-romans-did-for-the-us/) from Roman republican ideas in shaping its political institutions, the U.S. never seriously considered the Roman tradition of direct citizen assemblies. Founding fathers like James Madison framed representation, not participation, as the foundation of a republic. Yet the role of Rome’s plebeian council suggests otherwise. Its arc provides a lens for today’s [debates over democracy and political representation](https://www.pewresearch.org/global/2024/02/28/representative-democracy-remains-a-popular-ideal-but-people-around-the-world-are-critical-of-how-its-working/), with its rise and decline showing the promise and pitfalls of citizen-led power.

**Formation**

As Harriet I. Flower argues in her book [*Roman Republics*](https://press.princeton.edu/books/paperback/9780691152585/roman-republics?srsltid=AfmBOormqgNvuQ9h_E1XeJ2-ePeQEVjmHPeCzKBg_1JOuWg-ikPdt5py) (2010), the republic was not a single, static regime but a series of evolving constitutional arrangements. Among its earliest innovations was the plebeian council, emerging in 494 BC during the [First Secession of the Plebs](https://teaching.ellenmueller.com/walking/2021/12/31/the-first-secession-ancient-rome-494-bce/).

This was the first of five major secessions of the plebs, which saw plebeians, or commoners, withdraw from Rome in protest. In 494 BC, facing debt bondage and exclusion from political power and the judicial process, they marched out of Rome to the Sacred Mount and refused to fight, while enemy tribes threatened the city. Their actions brought the Roman economy to a halt and left it defenseless, forcing the patricians to negotiate.

Amid the standoff, the plebeians formed their own tribal assembly as both a protest and a platform for negotiation, which would evolve into the plebeian council. As a citizen assembly rather than a representative one, plebeians could propose, debate, and vote on laws directly. Rare even by today’s standards, this participatory model gave plebeians real political power and helped build early democratic concepts. Though initially unrecognized by the state and limited to passing laws (*plebiscita*) only binding on plebeians, the council gave Rome’s lower classes a means to organize and push for reform. It would become a central force in the [Conflict of the Orders](https://vroma.org/vromans/bmcmanus/orders.html) social struggle between commoners and the aristocracy.

To lead this body, plebeians created two “[anti-magistrate](https://www.livius.org/articles/concept/tribune/)” positions: tribunes of the plebs. Though lacking formal recognition, the tribunes could convene assemblies, propose laws, and veto patrician actions. Their political influence was enforced by a collective plebeian oath, the [*lex sacrata*](https://www.livius.org/articles/concept/tribune/), which bound plebeians to defend their tribunes, forcing patricians to tolerate them for the sake of stability.

Tribune elections were briefly moved to the patrician-controlled assembly, *Comitia Curiata,* but returned to plebeian control when the council approved the *Lex Publilia Voleronis* law [in 471 BC](https://journals.openedition.org/etudesanciennes/5886), which also expanded the number of tribunes to five. This marked an early assertion of plebeian autonomy that emphasized elected leadership, and by 457 BC, [the number of tribunes was fixed at 10](https://penelope.uchicago.edu/Thayer/E/Roman/Texts/secondary/SMIGRA*/Lex_Publilia.html). Early Roman assemblies met within the Roman Forum, the political heart of the city, but elections in the Late Republic, including those of the plebeian council, [were held](https://en.wikisource.org/wiki/1911_Encyclop%C3%A6dia_Britannica/Comitia) in the Campus Martius outside the city to accommodate larger gatherings.

The plebeian council [voted by tribe](https://www.mirrorservice.org/sites/ftp.ibiblio.org/pub/docs/books/gutenberg/6/8/4/1/68419/68419-h/68419-h.htm?utm_source=chatgpt.com), with each tribe casting one vote. Originally, there were four urban tribes before increasing to 35 as Rome expanded. Early votes were by voice or show of hands, later replaced by secret ballots, and the council [served three main purposes](https://www.perseus.tufts.edu/hopper/text?doc=Perseus:text:1999.04.0063:entry=comitia-cn). The first was electing tribunes to represent plebeian interests, and *aediles*, who were responsible for public order and festivals. The second was passing laws by vote. The third involved handling judicial matters, mainly imposing fines in minor cases.

In effect, the Roman plebeians created a powerful direct citizen assembly. Eligible plebeian males gathered in person to propose, debate, and vote on laws and officials, instead of handing those decisions to elected representatives.

Despite the growing political power of the plebeians, the plebeian council, tribunes, and other political bodies and magistrates suspended their powers in 451 BC. A 10-man board, the *decemvirate*, was tasked with drafting Rome’s first written laws, the 12 Tables. When the *decemvirs* became tyrannical, plebeians launched a Second Secession in 449 BC, helping [compel the *decemvirs*](https://nvdatabase.swarthmore.edu/content/plebeians-win-victory-rule-law-ancient-rome-449-bce-see-also-494-campaign) to resign and restoring the tribunes and plebeian council, which remained central to Roman politics until dictator Sulla’s reforms in the early first century BC.

**Legal Empowerment and Peak Authority**

While it’s [never been clear](https://academic.oup.com/icon/article/8/2/237/700005) whether the plebeian council and the tribal assembly were distinct bodies or the same institution convened with or without patricians present, plebeian institutional power had grown remarkably. Having seen the effectiveness of mass strikes, a [third plebeian secession](https://imperiumromanum.pl/en/article/secessio-plebis/) in 445 BC protested bans on intermarriage between patricians and plebeians, as well as the patrician monopoly on the consulship. The resulting compromise, mediated through the council, legalized intermarriage through the [*Lex Canuleia*](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lex_Canuleia) law and introduced military tribunes with consular power.

The power of the tribunes played a crucial role in chipping away at elite control over executive authority. Centuries later, Niccolò Machiavelli, writing in his *Discourses on Livy*, [praised them](https://www.gutenberg.org/cache/epub/10827/pg10827-images.html) as vital checks on aristocratic power, which helped effectively mediate between the rich and poor to maintain balance over hierarchy, reflecting the tensions he saw in the Italian city-states.

Major plebeian council reforms followed in the fourth century BC. The *Leges Liciane Sextiae* [in 367 BC](https://imperiumromanum.pl/en/article/secessio-plebis/) capped public land ownership to combat growing elite accumulation of land, and mandated that at least one consul be plebeian, breaking the patrician monopoly on Rome’s highest office. Enforcement was uneven, and the *Lex Genucia* of 342 BC, passed [amid a fourth plebeian secession](https://imperiumromanum.pl/en/article/secessio-plebis/), reaffirmed the rules regarding a plebeian consul. It also banned interest charges and imposed term limits on magistrates, and though inconsistently applied, these laws signaled growing recognition of plebeian rights.

These reforms were not abstract ideals but direct responses to mounting economic inequality, widespread debt, and the concentration of power among the elite. By targeting landholding, lending practices, and political access, the plebeian council began to assert itself as an entity capable of shaping the republic’s institutions through social pressure.

While earlier *plebiscita* required Senate approval after voting, the [*Leges Publilia*](https://www.lsd.law/define/lex-publilia) of 339 BC changed the process by requiring Senate approval (*auctoritas patrum*) to be granted before the vote. This meant plebeian laws could no longer be blocked after passage. In addition, the laws also reinforced that at least one consul should be plebeian. Building on these changes, laws like the [*Lex Poetelia Papiria*](https://www.unrv.com/government/index-of-roman-laws.php) of 326 BC abolished debt bondage and expanded legal protections, while the [*Lex Ogulnia* (300 BC)](https://www.unrv.com/government/index-of-roman-laws.php) opened key priesthoods to plebeians, weakening patrician religious control.

The council’s greatest legislative victory came in 287 BC, during the [fifth and final](https://laborguild.com/this-week-in-labor-history-vol-4-the-secessions-of-the-plebs/) secession of the plebs. Public discontent had swelled when land seized in the [Third Samnite War](https://www.thelatinlibrary.com/imperialism/notes/samnitewars.html)—fought largely by plebeian soldiers—was handed out to patricians. As foreign threats continued to mount, the plebs withdrew to Janiculum Hill and refused to fight.

Understanding how essential they were to Rome’s defense and prosperity, the plebs used the crisis to demand lasting change. To resolve the standoff, the plebeian Quintus Hortensius, a lawyer and statesman, was appointed dictator. He passed the [*Lex Hortensia*](https://www.ebsco.com/research-starters/politics-and-government/lex-hortensia), which made *plebiscita* binding on all Roman citizens, without requiring Senate approval. The law affirmed the legislative equality of the plebeian council and opened the way for plebeians to attain Rome’s highest honors and offices. It effectively ended the Conflict of the Orders and the long struggle for legal equality, and demonstrated how the Romans, through nonviolent protest and structured political action, were able to enact legal change from within the system they were helping to build.

**Integration Into Populism**

As Rome expanded, efforts to curb financial inequality and elite dominance continued. The *Lex Flaminia* ([232 BC](https://punicwars.org/people/gaius-flaminius)) redistributed public land to poor Roman citizens, while the [*Lex Claudia* (218 BC)](https://czasopisma.bg.ug.edu.pl/index.php/gdanskie_studia_prawnicze/article/view/5247) restricted senators from owning large merchant ships, attempting to separate wealth from political power.

Expansion also brought population growth and the rise of a new middle class. As historian Guglielmo Ferrero notes, patricians sought to retain power by absorbing wealthy newcomers by “[intermarrying with their families and allowing them a share in](https://ia600401.us.archive.org/4/items/greatnessrome01ferruoft/greatnessrome01ferruoft.pdf) the government.” A new elite class, the [*nobiles*](https://imperiumromanum.pl/en/roman-society/social-layers-of-ancient-rome/nobiles/), emerged, defined by ancestry in high office, regardless of patrician or plebeian origin. The equestrian order, a wealthy non-senatorial class that included both patricians and plebeians, also gained influence.

As wealthy plebeians joined the elite, their interests began to diverge from the broader plebeian masses. Economic [inequality deepened](https://www.themetasophist.com/notes/how-inequality-killed-the-roman-republic), military burdens grew, and public unrest increased. Small family farms declined in favor of large plantations worked by an [influx of slaves](https://www.themetasophist.com/notes/how-inequality-killed-the-roman-republic) from conquest, disrupting the labor market.

Rome’s swelling urban population became increasingly reliant on imported foods from across the expanding republic, reshaping society and the economy. The plebeian council, once a radical institution, became partially captured by the establishment and increasingly unable to respond to plebeian needs.

In the 100s BC, however, the council’s influence saw a revival through populist tribunes and the rise of the [*populares*](https://highspeedhistory.com/2023/02/23/the-populares-of-rome/?srsltid=AfmBOoritUr6lVtnVnxHqHNJSGZaqkcTIiuqD8HUTrCCElwWOJ0-2d8D), a political movement that mobilized plebeian support to challenge the Senate. The [Gracchi brothers](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gracchi_brothers), Tiberius and Gaius, gained strong public support for reform. Tiberius, elected tribune in 133 BC, passed the [*Lex Sempronia Agraria*](https://droitromain.univ-grenoble-alpes.fr/Anglica/agraria_johnson.html) to redistribute land and limit aristocratic holdings. Gaius continued the effort during his tribunate, introducing the [*Lex Frumentaria*](https://scholarworks.harding.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1034&context=tenor) in 123 BC to subsidize grain for the urban poor, and the *Lex Sempronia Judiciaria*, shifting jury control from senators to the equestrian class.

Faced with growing inequality and social strain, the plebeian council increasingly directed its energy toward social relief for ordinary citizens. Though no longer revolutionary, its institutional power was vital for enacting reforms that responded to the economic and political pressures of a rapidly changing republic.

**Decline and Political Violence**

Despite praising Tiberius for [expanding protections](https://cpsa-acsp.ca/papers-2009/Andrew-Edward.pdf) for enslaved people, Machiavelli [criticized Tiberius](https://cpsa-acsp.ca/papers-2009/Andrew-Edward.pdf) for destabilizing constitutional norms (particularly by removing a fellow tribune) and upending the republic. The Gracchi brothers’ popular reforms provoked [fierce aristocratic backlash](https://latinitium.com/2000-years-of-latin-prose-gaius-gracchus/), and Tiberius was killed by a senatorial mob in 133 BC, while Gaius also met a violent death during political turmoil in 121 BC. Their murders ushered in an era of open political violence in Rome. Political reforms proposed by tribune Saturninus saw his assassination [in 100 BC](https://core.ac.uk/download/524649459.pdf), and the murder of tribune Drusus [in 91 BC](https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/9781119099000.wbabat0470) helped spark the Social War with Rome’s Italian allies, who were seeking citizenship.

[In 88 BC](https://storiesofantiquity.weebly.com/sulla.html), the plebeian council voted to strip consul Sulla of his military command, but he responded by marching on Rome, the first time a Roman general seized power by force. After his popular rival Marius briefly regained control and died, Sulla returned in 82 BC and declared himself dictator. He stripped tribunes of their veto power, legislative authority, and access to higher office. Though some powers were later restored, the higher office was permanently weakened.

Rome’s expanding empire further diminished the plebeian council’s influence. [Long military campaigns](https://www.academia.edu/14144126/The_decline_of_the_middle_class_and_the_fall_of_the_Roman_republic?utm_source=chatgpt.com) kept plebeians abroad, reducing assembly participation and weakening popular institutions.

By the Late Republic, tribunes and the council increasingly became tools for ambitious power brokers. [In 58 BC](https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/antichthon/article/abs/ciceros-retreat-from-rome-in-early-58-bc/DD14FCCB4D35607437AE3D60B9B6CEA2), the *Lex Clodia de capite civis Romani* outlawed the execution of Roman citizens without trial. While it strengthened civic protections, its main aim was to punish consul Cicero for executing conspirators during the [Catiline crisis](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Catilinarian_conspiracy), highlighting the council’s shift toward personal and factional retribution.

Political and social lines also blurred. Plebeians like Sulla upheld the aristocracy, while patricians like Julius Caesar [allied with](https://www.goodreads.com/topic/show/331865-1-the-history-of-the-decline-and-fall-of-the-roman-empire-chapter-1-a) the plebeian council and *populares*. After Caesar’s assassination, Augustus consolidated power, was named “Tribune for Life,” and became Rome’s first emperor in 27 BC. Though the council formally remained, it became politically irrelevant like the rest of the republican bodies.

**Conclusion**

The plebeian council was far from perfect. Patricians often circumvented the council’s laws, relying on taxation and foreign conquest to replace profits lost from banned commercial activity. Some reforms, like the *Lex Frumentaria*, were criticized for draining the treasury and disproportionately benefiting urban citizens. Voting rights [excluded](https://www.baldwin.co.uk/news/voting-roman/) women and slaves, as well as many inhabitants of the republic who were not citizens. Corruption grew over time, especially as wealthier plebeians gained influence.

Yet for centuries, the lower classes stood by their tribunes and took revenge against elites who harmed them. The plebeian council embodied collective power, challenging patrician political dominance and providing a rare example of mass politics within a formal institution. Its embrace of tribal politics contrasts with today’s discomfort with the concept, outside the two-party system.

The U.S. has no direct equivalent to the plebeian council, but it has consistently passed laws aiming at protecting citizens. The Homestead Act of 1862 expanded land access, though at the cost of Indigenous dispossession and exclusion of Black Americans. The 14th Amendment (1868) guaranteed birthright citizenship, and the Bankruptcy Act of 1898 protected debtors from imprisonment. During the Great Depression, the New Deal gave substantial economic relief to citizens, while the Civil Rights Movement in the 1960s secured landmark legal equality. The Fair Debt Collection Practices Act (1977) reinforced debt protections, and immigration reform in 1986 and 2012 granted limited rights to undocumented people, expanding citizenship-adjacent privileges.

But since the 1960s, broad public victories have become rarer, and no institution exists solely to defend the public’s interest. Union membership [has declined](https://www.reuters.com/world/us/us-labor-union-membership-little-changed-2024-government-says-2025-01-28/) over the last few decades, weakening mass strike power. Reforms in the 2020s have seen significant setbacks. The expanded Child Tax Credit, which [in 2021](https://usafacts.org/articles/who-does-the-child-tax-credit-benefit-the-most/) increased benefits, expired at the end of that year and returned to its earlier structure. Student debt relief was scaled back, and SNAP benefits, [housing assistance](https://nlihc.org/resource/house-republicans-pass-reconciliation-bill-includes-cuts-vital-safety-net-programs-senate), and minimum wage protections [have also been cut](https://federalnewsnetwork.com/federal-newscast/2025/03/white-house-rescinds-federal-contractor-minimum-wage/). Rights themselves, such as marriage equality, have become [partisan tools](https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/ajps.12972?af=R), shaped not only by political alignment but also by changing interpretations in the Supreme Court.

While ballot initiatives may give some direct power at the state level, they are fragmented and often face legal or political obstruction. The plebeian council, while imperfect, showed how to empower the larger population. Mass politics carries risks of populism and demagoguery, but when structured properly, it can stabilize a society rather than accelerate its decline. The plebeian council shows how organized, citizen-led institutions can respond to inequality and shape reform by building collective pressure and becoming a significant force that cannot be dismissed.